

Article ID: 89310 DOI: 10.5586/asbp.89310

Publication History Received: 2020-07-15 Accepted: 2020-08-21 Published: 2020-12-04

Handling Editor

Beata Zagórska-Marek; University of Wrocław, Poland; https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6385-858X

Authors' Contributions

VP conceived and performed the taxonomic research, completed the species descriptions, compiled a key to determination, and prepared the figures; RO provided nomenclatural comments on and clarified the questions associated with occurrence of *Orthotrichum schimperi* in Poland; VP and RO wrote jointly the manuscript

Funding

The work of the first author is part of research projects of EU structural funding Operational Programme Research and Development for Innovation, project No.

CZ.1.05/2.1.00/19.0388 and by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic in the "National feasibility program I" (project LO1208 "TEWEP" and project of University of Ostrava No. SGS12/PřF/2020). The study of the second author was financially supported through the statutory fund of the W. Szafer Institute of Botany, Polish Academy of Sciences.

Competing Interests

No competing interests have been declared.

Copyright Notice

© The Author(s) 2020. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits redistribution, commercial and noncommercial, provided that the article is properly cited. ORIGINAL RESEARCH PAPER in RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN TAXONOMY AND PHYLOGENY OF PLANTS

Orthotrichum alpestre, a New Addition to the Moss Flora of Poland, with Notes on O. schimperi (Orthotrichaceae: Bryophyta)

Vítězslav Plášek^{1*}, Ryszard Ochyra²

¹Department of Biology and Ecology, University of Ostrava, Chittussiho 10, Ostrava, 710 00, Czech Republic

²Department of Bryology, W. Szafer Institute of Botany, Polish Academy of Sciences, Lubicz 46, Kraków, 31-512, Poland

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: vitezslav.plasek@osu.cz

Abstract

The occurrence of two epiphytic moss species, *Orthotrichum alpestre* Bruch & Schimp. and *O. schimperi* Hammar, in the moss flora of Poland is discussed. The former represents an entirely new country record based on a specimen collected from the Polish Eastern Carpathians, whereas the latter is reinstated as a member of the Polish moss flora. The complex and entangled taxonomic and nomenclatural history of *O. schimperi* is outlined, sources of its confusion are discussed, and two correctly determined specimens from Poland are cited. The two species are briefly characterized and illustrated, and a key to the genera and species of Polish orthotrichaceous mosses is presented.

Keywords

keys to determination; *Lewinskya*; Musci; *Nyholmiella*; *Plenogemma*; *Pulvigera*; *Ulota*; taxonomy

1. Introduction

Orthotrichum Hedw. is one of the most distinctive of all moss genera, which is at a glance distinguished by its immersed to short-exserted capsules that are most often ribbed and only seldomly smooth with large campanulate-mitrate and usually hairy calyptrae covering the entire capsule. Plants lacking sporophytes are recognized by their orthotropic, sympodially branched stems and small, isodiametric, and mostly papillose laminal cells with incrassate walls. These xerophytic adaptations are associated with the ecological preferences of the species of *Orthotrichum*, which generally grow in dry, sunny habitats, either as epiphytes on the bark of deciduous trees or shrubs, or epipetrically on rocks, boulders, or stone walls.

Twenty species of the broadly interpreted genus *Orthotrichum* have been recorded from Poland in the latest catalog of Polish mosses (Ochyra et al., 2003). A subsequent reexamination of the herbarium specimen showed that *O. microcarpum* De Not. was erroneously reported from Poland because the voucher specimen collected by A. Żmuda in 1910 proved to be *O. pallens* Brid. (Plášek & Sawicki, 2009). Accordingly, this species was excluded from the moss flora of Poland. However, this loss was compensated by *O. shawii* Schimp., whose record from Poland was resurrected from obsolescence. This species was found in the second half of the nineteenth century near Barwice in northwestern Poland by Ruthe (1873), but the record has been generally overlooked. This species has not been rediscovered in Poland, yet Ruthe's record is confirmed by the voucher specimens deposited in BM and TR (Garilleti et al., 2006).

The traditionally interpreted *Orthotrichum* is one of the largest of all moss genera, consisting of approximately 150 taxa distributed across all continents. In a

worldwide synopsis of species and infrageneric taxa of Orthotrichum, Lewinsky (1993) recognized 116 species and 11 varieties; however, since then, 54 new species have been described in, transferred to, or reinstated as distinct species of Orthotrichum and/or its segregates. This is because the broadly understood genus Orthotrichum was recently split into four segregates (Damsholt et al., 1969; Lara et al., 2016; Plášek, Sawicki, & Ochyra, 2016; Plášek et al., 2015; Sawicki et al., 2017), including Nyholmiella Holmen & E. Warncke with three species (Damsholt et al., 1969; Otnyukova, 2001, 2019); Pulvigera Plášek, Sawicki & Ochyra with four species (Lara et al., 2020); Lewinskya F. Lara, Garilleti & Goffinet with 68 species and six varieties (Eckstein et al., 2017; Lara et al., 2016, 2018; Plášek, 2019; Procházková & Plášek, 2020); and Orthotrichum (Kiebacher & Lüth, 2016; Lara et al., 2016). Nyholmiella is represented by two species in Poland, N. obtusifolia (Hedw.) Holmen & E. Warncke and N. gymnostoma (Brid.) Holmen & E. Warncke; Pulvigera by a single species, P. lyellii (Hook. & Taylor) Plášek, Sawicki & Ochyra; Lewinskya by five species and one variety, namely L. affinis (Schrad. ex Brid.) F. Lara, Garilleti & Goffinet, L. affinis var. bohemica (Plášek & Sawicki) Plášek, L. rupestris (Schwägr.) F. Lara, Garilleti & Goffinet, L. speciosa (Nees) F. Lara, Garilleti & Goffinet, L. striata (Hedw.) F. Lara, Garilleti & Goffinet, and L. shawii (Wilson) F. Lara, Garilleti & Goffinet; and Orthotrichum s. str. by 11 species, including O. anomalum Hedw., O. cupulatum Brid., O. diaphanum Brid., O. pallens Brid., O. patens Brid., O. pulchellum Brunt., O. pumilum Sw., O. rogeri Brid., O. scanicum Grönvall, O. stramineum Brid., and O. tenellum Brid. In the present account, two additional species of the genus are recorded for Poland, including O. alpestre Bruch & Schimp. and O. schimperi Hammar. The former was newly found in the country, whereas the latter was reinstated from obsolescence as a member of the Polish moss flora.

Additionally, the genus *Ulota* proved to be a heterogeneous taxon and for one of its species, *U. phyllantha* Brid., the monotypic genus *Plenogemma* Plášek, Sawicki & Ochyra was established to accommodate it as *P. phyllantha* (Brid.) Plášek, Sawicki & Ochyra (Plášek et al., 2015; Sawicki et al., 2017). This species was once recorded in Western Pomerania in northwestern Poland (Ochyra & Bednarek-Ochyra, 1991).

Most of the orthotrichaceous mosses reported in *Census Catalogue of Polish Mosses* (Ochyra et al., 2003) are common and frequent species that are widespread, although sometimes scattered throughout the country. Nevertheless, several species have been recorded in Poland only in the nineteenth and/or in the first half of the twentieth century and are known only from these historical collections and have not been recorded after World War II. These are *Nyholmiella gymnostoma*, *Orthotrichum scanicum*, *Plenogemma phyllantha*, *Ulota drummondii* (Hook. & Grev.) Brid., *U. hutchinsiae* (Sm.) Hammar, and *U. rehmannii* Jur. It is worth noting that *U. rehmannii* was described from the material collected in the Tatra by A. Rehmann (Juratzka, 1864). In contrast, *Orthotrichum pulchellum* (Plášek et al., 2013), *O. rogeri* (Stebel, 2010), and *Ulota coarctata* (P. Beauv.) Hammar (Plášek, Smoczyk, & Ochyra, 2016) have recently been rediscovered in Poland after a long time.

2. Methods

The two species of *Orthotrichum* that are considered to be new records to the moss flora of Poland were found during a revision of the herbarium holdings of the genus in the bryological herbarium in the W. Szafer Institute of Botany, Polish Academy of Sciences (KRAM).

The specimens were identified and photographed by routine microscopic and laboratory techniques.

3. Results

3.1. Orthotrichum alpestre Bruch & Schimp.

Bryol. Eur. 3: 75, pl. 213 [Fasc. 42. Monogr. Suppl. 1: 1, pl. 1]. 1849.

3.1.1. Specimen Examined

POLAND. Polish Eastern Carpathians, Bieszczady Zachodnie Range, Bieszczadzki National Park, SE of the Ustrzyki Górne village, in the Terebowiec stream valley,

collection point No. 26, ca. 49°05′53″ N, ca. 22°43′06″ E, alt. 900–930 m a.s.l., bark of *Acer pseudoplatanus*, ATMOS grid square Gf–69, June 13, 1993, leg. J. Żarnowiec & M. Szymocha, det. J. Żarnowiec as *Orthotrichum pumilum* [KRAM B-108863, dupl. in SOSN 15711 (collection "Mchy Doliny Terebowca"), not seen].

3.1.2. Remarks

Orthotrichum alpestre is a small to medium-sized moss that has strongly papillose leaves, which can provide a glaucous tinge to the plants (Figure 1A). The papillae of the leaf cells are more or less prominent and commonly forked (Figure 1B). The capsules are 1/2-3/4 emergent, with an urn obloid-ovoid when mature. The peristome is double, formed by eight pairs of the exostome teeth and eight endostome segments (Figure 1C). The outer peristome layer (OPL) ornamentation of the exostome teeth is formed by dense papillae below and a mixture of papillae and distinct striae above (Figure 1D). The calyptra is covered with usually scattered, stout papillose hairs (Figure 1A,C).

The voucher material of this species was originally determined by the collector J. Żarnowiec as *Orthotrichum pumilum* and its duplicate was deposited in the bryophyte collection at KRAM. It was subsequently published under this name in a paper dealing with the mosses of the Terebowiec stream valley (Żarnowiec, 2010) and, secondarily, this record is cited in a monograph of the moss flora of the Western Bieszczady Mountains (Żarnowiec & Stebel, 2014).

3.2. Orthotrichum schimperi Hammar in Hammar & Piscator

Monogr. Orthotrich. Ulot. Suec. 2: 9. 1852.

3.2.1. Specimens Examined

POLAND. (*i*) Pojezierze Wschodniobałtyckie, Pojezierze Mazurskie: Węgorzewko hamlet of the Kalskie Nowiny village east of Węgorzewo, ca. 54°12′48″ N, ca. 21°45′58″ E, alt. 130 m a.s.l., on trunk of *Malus domestica* associated with *Nyholmiella obtusifolia*, ATMOS grid square Af–90, April 24, 1991, leg. A. Stebel 1018 (KRAM B-113864, SOSN 17569, not seen); (*ii*) Wyżyna Małopolska, Wyżyna Kielecka, Świętokrzyski National Park, in the forest section 22.91, ca. 50°57′19″ N, ca. 20°48′53″ E, alt. 365 m a.s.l., on bark at the base of the trunk of *Quercus* sp. associated with *Lewinskya affinis*, ATMOS grid square Ee–65, December 30, 2015, leg. T. Paciorek s.n. (KRAM B-223810).

The two aforementioned specimens of *Orthotrichum schimperi* were originally determined by A. Stebel as *Orthotrichum pumilum* and their duplicates were donated to the bryophyte herbarium at KRAM. The first of these from Węgorzewko in the Pojezierze Mazurskie was published under this name by Stebel (1997), whereas the second specimen from the Świętokrzyski National Park was published by Paciorek et al. (2016) and it is also cited in the unpublished doctoral thesis of its collector (Paciorek, 2017).

3.2.2. Remarks

Orthotrichum schimperi is a small epiphytic moss. The plants are green-colored above and brownish below. The leaves are erect and loosely-appressed when dry, ovate-oblong to oblong-lanceolate. The capsules are immersed to shortly emergent (Figure 2A,C), ovoid or pyriform when moist, abruptly contracted into the seta. The stomata are immersed, scarcely covered by the guide cells. The peristome is double, formed by eight pairs of the reflexed exostome teeth and eight endostome segments with a conspicuously broad base, 1/2–3/4 as long as the exostome teeth (Figure 2C,D). The calyptra is naked (Figure 2B).

4. Discussion

Orthotrichum alpestre is a subarctic-subalpine species. In Europe, it is most often found in the subalpine or alpine zones (Martínez-Abaigar et al., 1995), and outside Europe, it is known from North America and Southeast and Central Asia.

Orthotrichum alpestre is morphologically similar to *O. stramineum*, but it can be distinguished mainly by having (*i*) tall and commonly forked papillae of the laminal



Figure 1 *Orthotrichum alpestre.* (**A**) Habit of the plants with capsules covered with calyptrae. (**B**) Scanning electron microphotograph showing forked papillae on the leaf cells. (**C**) Deoperculate mature capsules showing the double peristome. (**D**) Scanning electron microphotograph of the ornamentation of the outer peristome layer (OPL) side of the exostome teeth. All taken from Żarnowiec & Szymocha s.n., June 13, 1993 (KRAM B-108863). Scale bars: (**A**,**C**) 1 mm; (**B**) 5 μm; (**D**) 20 μm.

cells (Figure 1B), whereas the latter species usually has shorter and only rarely branched papillae of the laminal cells; (*ii*) an OPL that is densely papillose below and striate-papillose above (Figure 1D), whereas the exostome teeth in *O. stramineum* are uniformly papillose on the outer side, and the ornamentation of the upper part does not contrast with that of the lower part; (*iii*) a short capsule neck, mostly shorter than the urn, whereas in *O. stramineum* the neck is long, commonly as long as the urn, and gradually tapered to the seta; and (*iv*) a naked or sparsely haired vaginula with a few variable hairs, whereas in *O. stramineum*, the vaginula is covered with long papillose hairs (cf. Blockeel & Lara, 2015).

Orthotrichum schimperi is a member of a difficult and critical complex of species centered around *O. pumilum*, which also includes *O. pallens* and *O. philibertii* Venturi. The most important difference between *O. schimperi* and *O. pumilum* is in the shape of the capsule (cf. Blockeel, 2019). It is narrowly ovoid, widest at the middle, and somewhat constricted below the mouth in *O. schimperi* (Figure 2A,C)



Figure 2 Comparison of *Orthotrichum schimperi* (**A**-**D**) and *O. pumilum* (**E**,**F**). (**A**,**E**) Fertile populations with mature capsules. (**B**) Plants with the capsules coveredby the naked calyptrae. (**C**) Deoperculate capsules showing the double peristomes. (**D**) Scanning electron microphotograph of the primary peristome layer (PPL) side of the peristome – The segments are distinctly broad at the base. (**F**) Scanning electron microphotograph of the PPL side of the peristome with linear segments. (**A**-**D**) Taken from Paciorek s.n., December 30, 2015 (KRAM B-223810); (**E**,**F**) from Plášek s.n., May 25, 2011 (OSTR B-2643). Scale bars: (**A**-**C**,**E**) 1 mm; (**D**,**F**) 100 μm.

and its base is abruptly contracted to the seta. In contrast, *O. pumilum* has slightly longer and narrower capsules (Figure 2E), which gradually taper at the base into the seta. There are also differences in the details of the peristome between these two species. In *O. schimperi*, the endostome segments are shorter, 1/2-3/4 as long as the exostome teeth, and conspicuously broad at the base (Figure 2D). In *O. pumilum*, the segments are long and narrow, almost as tall as the exostome teeth (Figure 2F), and not or only slightly widened at the base.

Orthotrichum pumilum was one of the earliest recognized species of the genus and was described in 1799 from the material collected in Sweden, and its prestarting point name was validated by an anonymous author of a review of Swartz's (1799) work published on June 1, 1801 in *The Monthly Review* (Anonymous, 1801). The species was subsequently accepted by Dickson (1801) in his treatment of the cryptogams of the British Isles, which was published on October 4, 1801 (Sayre, 1959). He provided a short description of the species in Latin, cited one specimen from Ireland, and gave a full reference to Swartz's (1799) original description of the species whose name was then already validly published. Interestingly, some authors (e.g., Grout, 1935; Limpricht, 1890; Wilson, 1855) considered O. pumilum sensu Dickson as a validly published name, and in Index muscorum (Wijk et al., 1964, 1969), it was considered as an illegitimate homonym of O. pumilum Sw. The latter species was accepted in most floras and taxonomic treatments published in the first four decades of the nineteenth century, both in the British Isles (e.g., Smith, 1804; Turner, 1804) and continental Europe (e.g., Bridel, 1826-1827; Hübener, 1833; Schwaegrichen, 1816).

The real confusion regarding the taxonomic interpretation of *Orthotrichum pumilum* was introduced in *Bryologia europaea* (Bruch et al., 1837) because of an incorrect application of the name of this species. The authors of this opus, which was then considered to be the oracle of taxonomic and nomenclatural matters regarding European mosses, disregarded the principle of priority and ascribed the name *O. pumilum* to Schwaegrichen (1816), although this author did not describe a new species because he gave in his treatment full reference to *O. pumilum*. Thus, although the description and elegant, colorful plate represented a different species in Schwaegrichen (1816), Bruch and Schimper, the authors of the text and the taxonomic concept in *Bryologia europaea*, should have introduced a new name for this species and not adopt a name, which was used for a different and accepted species.

This already complicated situation was additionally aggravated by the fact that the authors of *Bryologia europaea* accepted *Orthotrichum pumilum* sensu Swartz but completely broke the principle of priority by accepting *O. fallax* Brid., which was described by Bridel (1826–1827) as a new species from the material collected by Ph. Bruch in Zweibrücken (Latin Bipontium), and placing *O. pumilum* Sw. in the synonymy of *O. fallax*. Hammar and Piscator (1852) tried to control this unusual nomenclatural chaos introduced in *Bryologia europaea* by Bruch and Schimper and quite sensibly accepted the correct name *O. pumilum* sensu Swartz for *O. fallax* sensu Bruch and Schimper, which he correctly placed in the synonymy of *O. pumilum* Sw. However, for *O. pumilum* sensu Bruch and Schimper, they introduced the name *O. schimperi* and stated that this species occurs in southern Sweden from Skåne to Jämtland, but because the species is confused with *O. pumilum*, localities indicated for this species in the literature were uncertain.

Schimper (1860, 1876) partially agreed with Hammar and Piscator (1852) and accepted only *Orthotrichum pumilum* Sw. with *O. fallax* Brid. as a synonym for this name. However, he continued to use *O. fallax* sensu *Bryologia europaea* and placed *O. schimperi* in the synonymy of this name. This concept did not gain wide acceptance, but it was used, among others, by Milde (1869) and Limpricht (1876), in two major floras related to Silesia. Later, Limpricht (1890) changed his mind and accepted *O. schimperi* as a species in its own right, as did other authors, for example, Philibert (1891), Roth (1904), and Brotherus (1923).

In the past and in the first decade of the present century, *Orthotrichum pumilum* and *O. schimperi* were usually treated as a single species (e.g., Corley et al., 1981;

Lewinsky-Haapasaari, 1998; Meinunger & Schröder, 2007; Nyholm, 1960; Smith, 2004), although sometimes they were retained as separate species (e.g., Smith, 1978; Szafran, 1961). However, in the past two decades, the distinctness of these two species is increasingly accepted (Cortini Pedrotti & Lara, 2001; Hill et al., 2006; Hodgetts et al., 2020; Lara & Garilleti, 2014; Lara et al., 2009; Ros et al., 2013). Only Hinneri (1976) gave *O. schimperi* a varietal status, *O. pumilum* var. *schimperi* (Hammar) Hinn.

Orthotrichum schimperi is a Holarctic species that has its main center of distribution in Europe, with some isolated occurrences in California in North America and Central Asia (Lara & Garilleti, 2014). It is rather common in the Mediterranean region where it extends to North Africa (Morocco, Algeria, Tunisia, Libya) and Turkey in the Near East (Ros et al., 2013), but in Central Europe, its geographical range is not well known, because it was not distinguished from the closely related *O. pumilum.* The species is absent from much of eastern Europe and is unknown from Russia and in the north extends to southwestern Finland (Hinneri, 1976) and southern Sweden and Norway (Hallingbäck et al., 2008). It is very rare and widely scattered in Britain, including southeastern Wales, southeastern England, and eastern Scotland (Blockeel, 2019).

Orthotrichum schimperi was mentioned from Poland in the old literature under this name but usually without citation of any specimens (Limpricht, 1876, 1890) or as *O. fallax* Schimp. non Brid. (Chałubiński, 1886; Krupa, 1878). A revision of these historical collections deposited in KRAM revealed that they all correctly represented *O. pumilum*. Likewise, the specimens of *O. schimperi* collected in Głogoczów in the Pogórze Wielickie in the Polish Western Carpathians were distributed in *Bryotheca Polonica* as No. 21 (Żmuda, 1911). The specimens in KRAM (B-001470 and B-171928) consist of *O. pumilum* Sw. mixed with *Nyholmiella obtusifolia*.

Following the generally accepted tendency to consider Orthotrichum schimperi to be conspecific with O. pumilum, the species has not been accepted in the recent checklists of the mosses in Poland (Ochyra & Szmajda, 1978; Ochyra et al., 2003). However, this species was previously included by Szafran (1961) in the moss flora of Poland, but the description of this species and a key to the determination of Orthotrichum species are highly confusing and misleading in this work. This author used the presence and abundance of gemmae as the only character distinguishing O. schimperi from O. pumilum in a key to species of this genus and stated (Szafran, 1961, p. 22) that the axillary gemmae occur in profusion in O. schimperi, whereas in O. pumilum, they are absent or very rare (only 1-3 per stem). The selection of this character is unfortunate and confusing because, apart from some species of the orthotrichalean mosses that regularly and abundantly produce gemmae, including Nyholmiella obtusifolia, N. gymnostoma, Pulvigera lyellii, gemmiferous plants are only occasionally known in a number of Orthotrichum species, especially in the older stage of ontogenesis (cf. Plášek et al., 2007), and they have no taxonomic value. The presence versus absence of the gemmae in O. schimperi and O. pumilum cannot be considered a reliable distinguishing character because it appears that the occurrence of the gemmae varies markedly in the former species. In the plants from Poland and other regions in Central Europe, they occur sparingly as already noted by Limpricht (1890, p. 77) or are even missing, whereas they occur commonly in the plants from the Iberian Peninsula (Lara & Garilleti, 2014, p. 119).

Szafran (1961, pp. 34–35) introduced essential confusion in the description of the peristomes for the species in question. According to this author, *Orthotrichum pumilum* has an ovoid capsule with endostome segments that are conspicuously wide at the base. However, this shape of the capsules and the endostome segments is typical of *O. schimperi*. According to Szafran (1961, p. 34), *O. schimperi* has segments of the endostome as long as the exostome teeth, but actually, such segments occur in *O. pumilum*. Additionally, the calyptra is glabrous in *O. pumilum*, whereas Szafran (1961) stated that sometimes it is covered with scattered short hairs. Considering these inaccuracies in the treatment of the species, it was not possible to correctly identify them following the work of Szafran (1961); in fact, *O. schimperi* has not been recorded in the Polish bryological literature in the last half-century.

The true distribution of *O. schimperi* in Poland can be established only after a revision of the holdings of this complex in all Polish and foreign (especially German) herbaria. As mentioned above, most specimens cited as *Orthotrichum schimperi* from Poland proved to be mis-determinations; thus, it is likely that the specimens reported in the present account are the only true specimens of this species cited hitherto in the Polish literature. In the largest bryophyte herbarium in Poland at KRAM, approximately 170 specimens of *O. pumilum* are deposited and only two out of this number belong to *O. schimperi*, which means that the ratio of occurrence of *O. schimperi* and *O. pumilum* collected in Poland was approximately 1–2:100. It is difficult to expect that this ratio will be significantly different in other herbaria, especially if a similar proportion was also observed by the first author in the Czech herbaria. If this is confirmed by a revision of the holdings in other herbaria, *O. schimperi* may turn out to be a rare or very rare species in Poland, and this would not be surprising considering its clear Mediterranean affinities.

4.1. Key to Genera and Species of Orthotrichaceous Mosses in Poland

The revolutionary taxonomic and classificational changes in the orthotrichalean mosses in recent decades, as well as a number of new additions to the moss flora of Poland, prompted the authors to compile a new key to the determination of the genera and species, which has traditionally been classified for the genera *Orthotrichum* and *Ulota*. In the future, the Polish material of *Ulota* needs revision to confirm recent taxonomic changes in the *U. crispa* (Hedw.) Brid. complex (Caparrós et al., 2016). However, two species of *Orthotrichum*, *O. stellatum* and *O. moravicum*, have been included because they are known from the coterminous countries, and they are very likely to also be discovered in Poland – The latter species has been described only recently from the Czech Republic (Plášek et al., 2009), and its global distribution is still poorly known. Both are marked with an asterisk (*) in the key. The seventh genus of the Orthotrichaceae, *Zygodon* Hook. & Taylor, which consists of five species in Poland, is not included in the following key, and its species are keyed by Stebel and Żarnowiec (2017).

Key to genera and species of orthotrichaceous mosses in Poland.				
1a	Stomata phaneroporous	2		
1b	Stomata cryptoporous (Orthotrichum s. str.)	6		
2a	Monoicous; gemmae mostly absent	3		
2b	Dioicous; gemmae always present	4		
3a	Basal marginal cells of leaves shorter and pale with thickened cross-walls, forming a border sharply differentiated from inner cells (<i>Ulota</i> s. str.)	26		
3b	Basal marginal cells of leaves not particularly differentiated (Lewinskya)	21		
4a	Leaf margins erect or involute throughout; leaves ovate, concave, broadly obtuse or rounded at the apex (<i>Nyholmiella</i>)	25		
4b	Leaf margins plane above, partly recurved below; leaves lanceolate, narrower at the apex, acute or acuminate	5		
5a	Gemmae forming conspicuous clusters on excurrent part of costa of uppermost leaves	Plenogemma phyllantha		
5b	Gemmae scattered more or less equally on adaxial surface of the leaves	Pulvigera lyellii		
	6–20 Orthotrichum			
6a	Leaf apex with conspicuous serrate hyaline acumen, formed by elongated cells	O. diaphanum		
6b	Leaf apex without hyaline acumen, sometimes with 1–2 short celled apical hyaline	7		
	apiculus (formed by not elongate cells)			
7a	Capsules exserted on long seta	8		
7b	Capsules immersed or emergent	9		
8a	Exostome teeth erect or patent when dry, leaves erect, calyptra hairy	O. anomalum		
8b	Exostome teeth recurved when dry, leaves flexuose, calyptra naked	O. pulchellum		
9a	Exostome teeth erect or patent when dry, epilithic species	10		
9b	Exostome teeth recurved to touching the urn when dry, mainly epiphytic species	11		
10a	Vaginula with long papillose hair, exostome teeth coarsely papillose, prostome never present	O. urnigerum		
10b	Vaginula naked, exostome teeth striate or papillose-striate; prostome usually present	O. cupulatum		
10ba	Capsules immersed, endostome segments lacking or rudimentary, calyptra more or less hairy	O. cupulatum var. cupulatum		

Continued on next page

10bb	Capsules emergent, endostome segments well developed, calyptra naked	O. cupulatum var. riparium
11a	Matured capsules with exostome formed by 16 teeth and endostome by 16 appendiculate segments	O. scanicum
11b	Matured capsules with exostome formed by 8 teeth and endostome by 8 or 16 segments	12
12a	Exothecial bands $2(-3)$ cells wide, reaching from the mouth to the lower half of the capsule	O. patens
12b	Exothecial bands 4 or more cells wide, reaching from the mouth to the base of the capsule	13
13a	Endostome segments completely erect when dry, slightly striated on the base. Plants showing dimorphism of branch leaves: on branches producing archegonia, the leaves are ligulate with a broadly oval base and margin recurved almost along the entire length; on branches producing antheridia, the leaves are significantly smaller, with margins plane or just a little recurved in the middle part	O. rogeri
13b	Endostome segments incurved when dry, smooth or papillose. Plants without dimorphism of branch leaves	14
14a	Vaginula cover by hairs	15
14b	Vaginula naked	17
15a	Vaginula usually with abundant short and smooth hairs, mature capsules with exothecial band brown-reddish in upper part, different in color from the lower half of the capsule	O. stellatum*
15b	Vaginula with long and papillose hairs (usually reaching to the base of urn), mature capsules with exothecial band not contrasting in color to the lower half of the capsule	16
16a	Endostome segments 8, ornamentation of the exostome teeth formed by dense papillae below and a mixture of papillae and evident lines above; leaf cells with papillae more or less prominent and commonly forked	O. alpestre
16b	Endostome segments 16, ornamentation of the exostome teeth uniformly papillose, leaf cell papillae low, unbranched	O. stramineum
17a	Endostome segments 8	18
17b	Endostome segments 16	20
18a	Capsule ovoid or pyriform when moist, sharply contracted into seta, segments conspicuous broadly at base, 1/2 to 3/4 as long as the exostome teeth	O. schimperi
18b	Capsule oblong-cylindrical when moist, gradually contracted into seta, segments linear, almost as long as the exostome teeth	19
19a	Leaves oblong-lanceolate to broad-lanceolate with apex of sterile leaf often ending in 1–2 celled hyaline apiculus, stomata scattered in the middle and lower part of the urn, calyptra naked	O. pumilum
19b	Leaves ligulate to ovate-lanceolate with apex narrowed into channelled apiculus (formed by the involute margin), stomata located particularly in lower part of the urn, calyptra with few papillose hairs at top	O. tenellum
20a	Endostome segments as long as the exostome teeth, with conspicuous marginal appendages	O. moravicum*
20b	Endostome segments alternately longer and shorter, without appendages 21–24 <i>Lewinskya</i>	O. pallens
21a	Exostome teeth erect or patent when dry, translucent and glossy, leaf lamina at least in the upper half with bistratose areas	L. rupestris
21b	Exostome teeth recurved when dry, opaque and dull, leaf lamina entirely unistratose	22
22a	Exostome of 8 teeth	23
22b	Exostome of 16 teeth	24
23a	Capsules fully emergent to exserted, smooth or lightly ribbed in the upper half, calyptra densely hairy	L. speciosa
23b	Capsules semiemergent, strongly ribbed along the entire length of the capsule, calyptra sparsely hairy 8 endostome segments	L. affinis L. affinis var. affinis
24a	16 endostome segments Peristome single, formed by 16 exostome teeth (endostome segments lacking or	L. affinis var. bohemica L. shawii
	rudimentary)	
24b	Peristome double, formed by both 16 exostome teeth and 16 conspicuous endostome segments	L. striata
	25 Nyholmiella	
25a	Leaves with margin erect, upper leaf cells usually with a single central papilla, capsules emergent, peristome present (double)	N. obtusifolia

Continued on next page

25b	Leaves with margin at least in the upper half conspicuously involute, upper leaf cells	N. gymnostoma
	usually with 2–3 papillae, capsules immersed, peristome absent	
	26–32 Ulota	
26a	Capsule pyriform, smooth or ribbed only near mouth when dry and empty	U. coarctata
26b	Capsule cylindrical, campanulate or urceolate, ribbed at least into half of capsule or more often along almost entire length when dry and empty	27
27a	Plants not or only slightly crisped, with most leaves erect or only mildly curved when dry	28
27b	Plants strongly crisped, with most leaves strongly curved, contorted and circinate when dry	31
28a	Calyptra naked or rarely with scattered and short hairs, exostome teeth papillose mostly below, ornamented with curved lines above	U. rehmannii
28b	Calyptra hairy, with long hairs, exostome teeth papillose along their length	29
29a	Exostome teeth erect to irregularly recurved when dry, endostome lacking or rudimentary	U. drummondii
29b	Exostome teeth entirely recurved when dry, endostome segments well developed	30
30a	Leaf erect-appressed, straight when dry, plants mainly saxicolous	U. hutchinsiae
30b	Leaf more or less flexuose, curved to slightly contorted when dry, plants mainly epiphytic	U. bruchii
31a	Capsule not or slightly constricted below mouth when dry, with ribs separated by broad furrows in the upper half of urn; exothecial bands visibly separated from capsule mouth by a ring of small thin-walled cells	32
31b	Capsule strongly constricted below mouth when dry, with ribs separated by narrow furrows, collapsed at the constricted area of the urn; exothecial bands reaching the mouth	U. crispa
32a	Endostome segments incurved when dry, uniseriate with incrassate and prominent transverse walls, all the cells of the exothecial bands hyaline with pale yellow incrassate lateral walls, leaves abruptly narrowing from a concave base	U. intermedia
32b	Endostome segments variably bent when dry, uniseriate (or irregularly biseriate) with only thin transverse walls, cells of the exothecial bands evenly pale yellow, leaves gradually narrowing from a plane to slightly concave base	U. crispula

In Census Catalogue of Polish Mosses (Ochyra et al., 2003), some 700 species, eight subspecies, and 87 varieties have been recorded from the country. Since its publication, some 17 species have been added to the moss flora of Poland. These are Orthotrichum shawii Schimp. (Garilleti et al., 2006; Ruthe, 1873), Bryoerythrophyllum alpigenum (Jur.) P. C. Chen (Lisowski, 1959; Ochyra, 2020), Fissidens rufulus Bruch & Schimp. (Blockeel et al., 2006), Zygodon stirtonii Schimp. (Blockeel et al., 2007), Leptophascum leptophyllum (Müll. Hal.) J. Guerra & M. J. Cano (Fudali et al., 2009), Grimmia teretinervis Limpr. and Didymodon validus Limpr. (Ellis et al., 2010; Ochyra et al., 2011), Thamnobryum neckeroides (Hook.) E. Lawton (Ellis, Alegro, et al., 2012; Stebel & Vončina, 2018), Pterygoneurum lamellatum (Brid.) Jur. (Ellis et al., 2015; Stebel et al., 2016), Ulota intermedia Schimp. and U. crispula Brid. (Caparrós et al., 2016), Bryum gemmiferum R. Wilczek & Demaret (Ellis et al., 2016), Cryphaea heteromalla (Hedw.) D. Mohr (Müller, 2016), Rhynchostegium rotundifolium (Brid.) Schimp. (Vončina & Stebel, 2019), Plagiothecium rossicum Ignatov & Ignatova (Ignatova et al., 2019), and Orthotrichum alpestre Bruch & Schimp., and O. schimperi Hammar (present paper). Additionally, one subspecies, Pohlia nutans subsp. schimperi (Müll. Hal.) Nyholm (Blockeel et al., 2005) and four varieties, namely Barbula unguiculata var. robusta Lindb. (Stebel et al., 2010), Orthotrichum affine var. bohemicum Plášek & Sawicki (Ellis, Bednarek-Ochyra, et al., 2012), Microbryum davallianum var. conicum (Schwägr.) R. H. Zander (Rusińska & Górski, 2012), and Syntrichia ruralis var. epilosa (Venturi) J. J. Amann (Ellis et al., 2018) have recently been recorded from Poland. Furthermore, two species have been excluded from the bryoflora of Poland, namely, Plagiomnium drummondii (Bruch & Schimp.) T. J. Kop. (Stebel & Ochyra, 2004) and Orthotrichum microcarpum De Not. (Plášek & Sawicki, 2009),

because these records were based on misidentified specimens. Accordingly, if the aforementioned changes are considered, the moss flora of Poland will now include

715 species, nine subspecies, and 90 varieties.

Acknowledgments

The authors are very grateful to Professor Rodney D. Seppelt, Bundall, Queensland, Australia, for his kind improvement with the English text and comments on the manuscript.

References

- Anonymous. (1801). Dispositio systematica Muscorum frondosorum Sueciae, adjectis descriptionibus et iconibus novarum specierum [A systematic arrangement of the mosses of Sweden. Including icons and descriptions of new species] [Review in the appendix section]. *The Monthly Review*, *34*, 535–538. https://hdl.handle.net/2027/njp.32101064254657
- Blockeel, T. (2019). Orthotrichum pumilum and O. schimperi in Britain. Field Bryology, 121, 38–43.
- Blockeel, T. L., Bednarek-Ochyra, H., Ochyra, R., Garcia, C., Matcham, H. W., Sérgio, C., Sim-Sim, M., Stebel, A., Townsend, C. C., & Váňa, J. (2005). New national and regional bryophyte records, 11. *Journal of Bryology*, 27, 163–168. https://doi.org/10.1179/037366805X53103
- Blockeel, T. L., Bednarek-Ochyra, H., Ochyra, R., Garilleti, R., Glime, J. M., Lara, F., Mazimpaka, V., Rusińska, A., Schaefer-Verwimp, A., Shabbara, H. M., Söderström, L., Stebel, A., Townsend, C. C., Váňa, J., Yayintaş, Ö. T., & Żarnowiec, J. (2007). New national and regional bryophyte records, 17. *Journal of Bryology*, 29, 277–283. https://doi.org/10.1179/174328207X249263
- Blockeel, T. L., Enroth, J., Ertz, D., Hedderson, T. A. J., Holz, I., Jafari, M., Kürschner, H., Lara, F., Mazimpaka, V., Medina, R., Ramel, G., Schäfer-Verwimp., A., Shirzadian, S., Sotiaux, A., Sotiaux, O., Stebel, A., & Tevili, A. (2006). New national and regional bryophyte records, 14. *Journal of Bryology*, 28, 271–275. https://doi.org/10.1179/174328206X157195
- Blockeel, T. L., & Lara, F. (2015). A herbarium record of Orthotrichum alpestre Hornsch. ex Bruch & Schimp. in Scotland: New to Britain. Journal of Bryology, 37, 244–247. https://doi.org/10.1179/1743282015Y.0000000004
- Bridel, S. E. (1826–1827). Bryologia universa seu systematica ad novam methodum dispositio, historia et descriptio omnium muscorum frondosorum hucusque cognitorum cum synonymia ex auctoribus probatissimis. Volumen primum [General bryology or new systematic arrangement, history and description of all hitherto known mosses with synonyms from respected authors. First volume]. Sumtibus Joan. Ambros. Barth. https://bibdigital.rjb.csic.es/idurl/1/9804
- Brotherus, V. F. (1923). Die Laubmoose Fennoscandias [The mosses of Fennoscandia]. Flora Fennica, 1, i-xiii + 1-635.
- Bruch, P., Schimper, W. P., & Gümbel, T. (1837). Orthotrichum. In W. P. Schimper (Ed.), Bryologia europaea seu genera muscorum europaeorum monographice illustrata [European bryology or an illustrated monograph of genera of European mosses] (Vol. 3, pp. 43–73 + pls. 208–212, 215–223, 225–228 [Fasc. 2–3 Monogr. 1–32, pls. 1–13]). E. Schweizerbarth. https://hdl.handle.net/2027/osu.32435030763080
- Caparrós, R., Lara, F., Draper, I., Mazimpaka, V., & Garilleti, R. (2016). Integrative taxonomy sheds light on an old problem: The *Ulota crispa* complex (Orthotrichaceae, Musci). *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society*, *180*, 427–451. https://doi.org/10.1111/boj.12397
- Chałubiński, T. (1886). Enumeratio muscorum frondosorum Tatrensium hucusque cognitorum [Register of the hitherto known mosses of the Tatra Mts]. Pamiętnik Fizyjograficzny Dział 3 (Botanika i Zoologija), 6, i–viii + 1–207 + pl. i (foldout).
- Corley, M. F. V., Crundwell, A. C., Düll, R., Hill, M. O., & Smith, A. J. E. (1981). Mosses of Europe and the Azores; an annotated list of species, with synonyms from the recent literature. *Journal of Bryology*, *11*, 609–689. https://doi.org/10.1179/jbr.1981.11.4.609
- Cortini Pedrotti, C., & Lara, F. (2001). Orthotrichales. In *Flora dei muschi d'Italia*. *Sphagnopsida, Andreaeopsida, Bryopsida (I parte)* [Moss flora of Italy. Sphagnopsida, Andreaeopsida, Bryopsida (part 1)] (pp. 719–761). Antonio Delfino Editore.
- Damsholt, K., Holmen, K., & Warncke, E. (1969). A list of the bryophytes of Denmark. *Botanisk Tidsskrift*, 65, 163–183.
- Dickson, J. (1801). *Fasciculus quartus plantarum cryptogamicarum Britanniae* [A fourth fascicle of cryptogamic plants of Britain]. Typis Gul. Bulmer et Soc. prostant venales apud auctorem and G. Nicol.

- Eckstein, J., Garilleti, R., & Lara, F. (2017). Lewinskya transcaucasica (Orthotrichaceae, Bryopsida) sp. nov. A contribution to the bryophyte flora of Georgia. Journal of Bryology, 40, 31–38. https://doi.org/10.1080/03736687.2017.1365218
- Ellis, L. T., Agcagil, E., Kırmacı, M., Aleffi, M., Bakalin, V. A., Bednarek-Ochyra, H., Cykowska-Marzencka, B., Stryjak-Bogacka, M., Bojaca, G. F. P., Fantacelle, L. B., Araújo, C. A. T., Maciel-Silva, A. S., Silva, J. B., Calleja, J. A., Cano, M. J., Diaz, J. C., Gabriel, R., Dias dos Santos, N., Enroth, J., ... Kim, J. H. (2016). New national and regional bryophyte records, 49. *Journal of Bryology*, *38*, 327–347. https://doi.org/10.1080/03736687.2016.1225777
- Ellis, L. T., Ah-Peng, C., Aranda, S. C., Bednarek-Ochyra, H., Borovichev, E. A., Cykowska-Marzencka, B., Duarte, M. C., Enroth, J., Erzberger, P., Fedosov, V., Fojcik, B., Gabriel, R., Coelho, M. C. M., Henriques, D. S. G., Ilina, O. V., Gil-Novoa, J. E., Morales-Puentes, M. E., Gradstein, S. R., Gupta, R., ... Zubel, R. (2015). New national and regional bryophyte records, 45. *Journal of Bryology*, 37, 308–329. https://doi.org/10.1179/1743282015Y.0000000035
- Ellis, L. T., Alegro, A., Bansal, P., Nath, V., Cykowska, B., Bednarek-Ochyra, H., Ochyra, R., Dulin, M. V., Erzberger, P., Garcia, C., Sérgio, C., Claro, D., Stow, S., Hedderson, T. A., Hodgetts, N. G., Hugonnot, V., Kučera, J., Lara, F., Pertierra, L., ... Vončina, G. (2012). New national and regional bryophyte records, 32. *Journal of Bryology*, 34, 231–246. https://doi.org/10.1179/1743282012Y.0000000019
- Ellis, L. T., Asthana, A. K., Sahu, V., Bednarek-Ochyra, H., Ochyra, R., Cano, M. J., Costa, D. P., Cykowska, B., Ochyra, R., Philippov, D. A., Dulin, M. V., Erzberger, P., Lebouvier, M., Mohamed, H., Ochyra, R., Orgaz, J. D., Phephu, N., Van Rooy, J., Stebel, A., ... Zander, R. H. (2010). New national and regional bryophyte records, 25. *Journal of Bryology*, 32, 311–322. https://doi.org/10.1179/jbr.2010.32.4.311
- Ellis, L. T., Bednarek-Ochyra, H., Ochyra, R., Cykowska, B., Dulin, M. V., Ezer, T., Kara, R., Flores, J. R., Suárez, G. M., Garcia, M. A., Sérgio, C., Garilleti, R., Kırmacı, M., Agcagil, E., Kurbatova, L. E., Lebouvier, M., Papp, B., Szurdoki, E., Philippov, D. A., ... Wolski, G. J. (2012). New national and regional bryophyte records, 33. *Journal of Bryology*, 34, 281–291. https://doi.org/10.1179/1743282012Y.0000000030
- Ellis, L. T., Wilbraham, J., Aleffi, M., Asthana, A. K., Rawat, K. K., Gupta, D., Sahu, V., Katiyar, P., Asthana, G., Srivastava, A., Baráth, K., Bednarek-Ochyra, H., Bruno Silva, J., Emanuely de Araújo Farias, C., Rangel Germano, S., Czernyadjeva, I. V., Doroshina, G. Y., Delgadillo Moya, C., Peña Retes, P., ... Yoon, Y. J. (2018). New national and regional bryophyte records, 54. *Journal of Bryology*, 40, 74–97. https://doi.org/10.1080/03736687.2018.1425573
- Fudali, E., Szczepański, M., Rusińska, A., Rosadziński, S., & Wolski, G. (2009). The current distribution in Poland of some European neophytic bryophytes with supposed invasive tendencies. *Acta Societatis Botanicorum Poloniae*, 78, 73–80. https://doi.org/10.5586/asbp.2009.010
- Garilleti, R., Lara, F., & Mazimpaka, V. (2006). On the presence of *Orthotrichum shawii* Wilson (Orthotrichaceae) in California. *The Bryologist*, *109*, 110–115. https://doi.org/c99hbq
- Grout, A. J. (1935). Orthotrichum. In A. J. Grout (Ed.), Moss flora of North America, north of Mexico (Vol. 2. Part 2, pp. 106–131 + pls. xlv–lv). Published by the author.
- Hallingbäck, T., Lönell, N., & Weibull, H. (2008). Bladmossor: Kompaktmossor-kapmossor.
 Bryophyta: Anoectangium Orthodontium [National encyclopedia of the Swedish flora and fauna. Bryophyta: Anoectangium Orthodontium]. ArtDatabanken.
- Hammar, O., & Piscator, P. J. (1852). *Monographia Orthotrichorum et Ulotorum Sueciae. Pars 2* [A monograph of *Orthotrichum* and *Ulota* in Sweden. Part 2]. Typis Berlingianis.
- Hill, M. O., Bell, N., Bruggemann-Nannenga, M. A., Brugués, M., Cano, M. J., Enroth, J., Flatberg, K. I., Frahm, J. P., Gallego, M. T., Garilleti, R., Guerra, J., Hedenäs, L., Holyoak, D. T., Hyvönen, J., Ignatov, M. S., Lara, F., Mazimpaka, V., Muñoz, J., & Söderström, L. (2006). An annotated checklist of the mosses of Europe and Macaronesia. *Journal of Bryology*, 28, 198–267. https://doi.org/10.1179/174328206X119998
- Hinneri, S. (1976). A revision of the moss genus Orthotrichum Hedw. for eastern Fennoscandia: Taxonomy, distribution and ecology. Annales Universitatis Turkuensis, Series A, II. Biologica–Geographica–Geologica, 58, 1–37.
- Hodgetts, N. G., Söderström, L., Blockeel, T. L., Caspari, S., Ignatov, M. S.,
 Konstantinova, N. A., Lockhart, N., Papp, B., Schröck, C., Sim-Sim, M., Bell, D.,
 Bell, N. E., Blom, H. H., Bruggeman-Nannenga, M. A., Brugués, M., Enroth, J.,
 Flatberg, K. I., Garilleti, R., Hedenäs, L., ... Porley, R. D. (2020). An annotated checklist of bryophytes of Europe, Macaronesia and Cyprus. *Journal of Bryology*, 42, 1–116.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/03736687.2019.1694329

- Hübener, J. W. P. (1833). Muscologia germanica: oder Beschreibung der deutschen Laubmoose. Im erweiterten Umfange nach dem jetzigen Stande der Wissenschaft, nebst Erörterung der Standörter und ihrer Entdecker, der Synonyme seit Hoffmann und Roth, mit erläuternden Anmerkungen [German muscology or description of the German mosses. In the expanded scope according to the current state of science, together with a discussion of the localities and their discoverers, the synonyms since Hoffmann and Roth, with explanatory notes]. Hofmeister. https://nbn-resolving.org/urn:nbn:de:bvb: 355-ubr12669-4
- Ignatova, E. A., Fedorova, A. V., Kuznetsova, O. I., & Ignatov, M. S. (2019). Taxonomy of the *Plagiothecium laetum* complex (Plagiotheciaceae, Bryophyta) in Russia. Arctoa, 28, 28–45. https://doi.org/10.15298/arctoa.28.05
- Juratzka, J. (1864). Ulota Rehmannii n. sp. Verhandlungen der Kaiserlich-Königlichen Zoologisch-Botanischen Gesellschaft in Wien, 14, 191–192.
- Kiebacher, T., & Lüth, M. (2016). Orthotrichum dentatum T. Kiebacher & Lüth sp. nov. (Orthotrichaceae). Journal of Bryology, 39, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1080/03736687.2016.1186858
- Krupa, J. (1878). Wykaz mchów zebranych w Tatrach w sierpniu 1877 r. [A list of mosses collected in the Tatras in August 1877]. Sprawozdanie Komisyi Fizyjograficznej, 12, 149–157.
- Lara, F., Draper, I., Flagmeier, M., Calleja, J., Mazimpaka, V., & Garilleti, R. (2020). Let's make *Pulvigera* great again: Re-circumscription of a misunderstood group of Orthotrichaceae that diversified in North America. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society*, 193, 180–206. https://doi.org/10.1093/botlinnean/boaa013
- Lara, F., & Garilleti, R. (2014). Orthotrichum Hedw. In J. Guerra, M. J. Cano, & M. Brugués (Eds.), Flora briofítica ibérica [Bryophytes of the Iberian Peninsula] (Vol. 5, pp. 50– 135). Universidad de Murcia and Sociedad Española de Briología.
- Lara, F., Garilleti, R., Draper, I., & Mazimpaka, V. (2018). *Lewinskya lamyana* sp. nov. (Orthotrichaceae, Bryopsida), a distinct moss from an exceptional habitat in the southern Iberian Peninsula. *Cryptogamie, Bryologie, 39*, 259–270. https://doi.org./10.7872/cryb/v39.iss2.2018.259
- Lara, F., Garilleti, R., Goffinet, B., Draper, I., Medina, R., Vigalondo, B., & Mazimpaka, V. (2016). *Lewinskya*, a new genus to accommodate the phaneroporous and monoicous taxa of *Orthotrichum* (Bryophyta, Orthotrichaceae). *Cryptogamie, Bryologie, 37*, 361–382. https://doi.org./10.7872/cryb/v37.iss4.2016.361
- Lara, F., Garilleti, R., Medina, R., & Mazimpaka, V. (2009). A new key to the genus *Orthotrichum* Hedw. in Europe and the Mediterranean Region. *Cryptogamie, Bryologie, 30*, 129–142.
- Lewinsky, J. (1993). A synopsis of the genus Orthotrichum Hedw. (Musci, Orthotrichaceae). Bryobrothera, 2, 1–59.
- Lewinsky-Haapasaari, J. (1998). Orthotrichales. In Illustrated flora of Nordic mosses. Fasc. 4. Aulacomniaceae – Meesiaceae – Catoscopiaceae – Bartramiaceae – Timmiaceae – Encalyptaceae – Grimmiaceae – Ptychomitriaceae – Hedwigiaceae – Orthotrichaceae (pp. 373–405). Nordic Bryological Society.
- Limpricht, K. G. (1876). Laubmoose. In F. Cohn (Ed.), *Kryptogamen-Flora von Schlesien 1* [Cryptogamic flora of Silesia] (pp. 29–224). J. U. Kern's Verlag.
- Limpricht, K. G. (1890). Die Laubmoose Deutschlands, Oesterreichs und der Schweiz [The mosses of Germany, Austria, and Switzerland]. In Dr L. Rabenhorst's Kryptogamen-Flora von Deutschland, Oesterreich und der Schweiz. 2 Aufl. Band 4, 2. Abtheilung, Lieferungen 14–15: Sphagnaceae, Andreaeaceae, Archidiaceae, Bryineae (Cleistocarpae, Stegocarpae (Acrocarpae)) [Dr L. Rabenhorst's Cryptogamic Flora of Germany, Austria, and Switzerland. Edition 2. Volume 4, Part 2, Issues 14–15: Sphagnaceae, Andreaeaceae, Archidiaceae, Bryineae (Cleistocarpae, Stegocarpae (Acrocarpae))] (pp. 1–128). Verlag von Eduard Kummer.
- Lisowski, S. (1959). Materiały do brioflory Tatr [Materials to the bryoflora of the Tatra Mountains]. *Prace Komisji Biologicznej, Poznańskie Towarzystwo Przyjaciół Nauk, Wydział Matematyczno-Przyrodniczy, 21*, 21–149.
- Martínez-Abaigar, J., Lewinsky-Haapasaari, J., & Núñez-Olivera, E. (1995). New records of Orthotrichum alpestre B., S. & G. from Spain. Lindbergia, 20, 106–108.
- Meinunger, L., & Schröder, W. (2007). Verbreitungsatlas der Moose Deutschlands [Atlas of mosses in Germany] (Vol. 3). Regensburgische Botanische Gesellschaft.
- Milde, J. (1869). Bryologia silesiaca: Laubmoos-Flora von Nord- und Mittel-Deutschland unter besonderer Berücksichtigung Schlesiens und mit Hinzumahme der Floren von Jütland, Holland, der Rheinpfalz, von Baden, Franken, Böhmen, Mähren und der Umgegend von München [Silesian bryology. Moss flora of North and Central Germany with special

consideration of Silesia and with the addition of the flora of Jutland, Holland, the Rheinpflaz, Baden, Franconia, Bohemia, Moravia and around the area of Munich]. Arthur Felix. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.32328

Müller, J. (2016). Einwanderung von Cryphaea heteromalla (Hedw.) D. Mohr nach Berlin und Brandenburg [Establishment of Cryphaea heteromalla (Hedw.) D. Mohr in Berlin and Brandenburg]. Veröffentlichungen des Naturkundemuseums Potsdam, 2, 37–43.

Nyholm, E. (1960). Illustrated moss flora of Fennoscandia. II. Musci. Fasc. 4. CWK Gleerup.

Ochyra, R. (2020). *Bryoerythrophyllum alpigenum* (Bryophyta, Pottiaceae) confirmed in the moss flora of Poland, with a review of the nomenclatural issues associated with this species name. *Folia Cryptogamica Estonica*, 58, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.12697/fce.2020.58.01

Ochyra, R., & Bednarek-Ochyra, H. (1991). Notes on Polish mosses: II. Ulota phyllantha (Orthotrichaceae) new to Poland. Fragmenta Floristica et Geobotanica, 36, 57–70.

- Ochyra, R., Stebel, A., & Bednarek-Ochyra, H. (2011). *Grimmia teretinervis* (Grimmiaceae) and *Didymodon validus* (Pottiaceae), two moss species new to Poland. In B. Zemanek (Ed.), *Geobotanist and taxonomist. A volume dedicated to Professor Adam Zając on the 70th anniversary of his birth* (pp. 47–67). Institute of Botany, Jagiellonian University.
- Ochyra, R., & Szmajda, P. (1978). An annotated list of Polish mosses. *Fragmenta Floristica et Geobotanica*, 24, 93–145.
- Ochyra, R., Żarnowiec, J., & Bednarek-Ochyra, H. (2003). *Census catalogue of Polish mosses*. Institute of Botany, Polish Academy of Sciences.
- Otnyukova, T. N. (2001). A new species of *Orthotrichum* (Orthotrichaceae, Musci) from Tuva Republic (South Siberia). *Arctoa*, 10, 155–156. https://doi.org/10.15298/arctoa.10.15
- Otnyukova, T. N. (2019). Emendation of diagnosis of *Nyholmiella furcata* (Orthotrichaceae, Bryophyta). *Novosti sistematiki nizshikh rastenii*, 53, 177–180. https://doi.org/10.31111/nsnr/2019.53.1.177
- Paciorek, T. (2017). Różnorodność gatunkowa, rozmieszczenie i siedliska mszaków Świętokrzyskiego Parku Narodowego [Species diversity, distribution, and habitats of bryophytes of the Świętokrzyski National Park] [Unpublished doctoral dissertation].
 W. Szafer Institute of Botany, Polish Academy of Sciences.
- Paciorek, T., Stebel, A., Jankowska-Błaszczuk, M., & Wojciechowska, A. (2016). Bryophyte species diversity in human-influenced habitats within protected areas – A case study from the Świętokrzyski National Park in Poland. *Herzogia*, 29, 668–687. https://doi.org/10.13158/heia.29.2.2016.668
- Philibert, M. (1891). Sur l'*Orthotrichum Schimperi* et les formes voisines [On *Orthotrichum Schimperi* and related forms]. *Revue Bryologique*, *18*, 33–44.
- Plášek, V. (2019). Lewinskya anaglyptodon var. ochyrarum (Orthotrichaceae), a new variety of epiphytic moss from Chile. Acta Musei Silesiae, Scientiae Naturales, 68, 175–178. https://doi.org/10.2478/cszma-2019-0017
- Plášek, V., Ochyra, R., Smoczyk, M., Wiaderny, A., & Koopman, J. (2013). Recent rediscovery of the epiphytic moss Orthotrichum pulchellum Brunt. in Poland. Časopis Slezského Zemského Muzea, Vědy Přírodni, Série A, 62, 97–100. https://doi.org/10.2478/cszma-2013-0010
- Plášek, V., & Sawicki, J. (2009). Does the epiphytic moss Orthotrichum microcarpum De Not. grow in Poland? Časopis Slezského Zemského Muzea, Vědy Přírodni, Série A, 58, 191–192.
- Plášek, V., Sawicki, J., & Ochyra, R. (2016). Are Orthotrichum and Dorcadion (Orthotrichaceae, Bryophyta) heterotypic generic names? Acta Musei Silesiae, Scientiae Naturales, 65, 193–202. https://doi.org/10.1515/cszma-2016-0023
- Plášek, V., Sawicki, J., Ochyra, R., Szczecińska, M., & Kulik, T. (2015). New taxonomical arrangement of the traditionally conceived genera *Orthotrichum* and *Ulota* (Orthotrichaceae, Bryophyta). *Acta Musei Silesiae, Scientiae Naturales*, 64, 169–174. https://doi.org/10.1515/cszma-2015-0024
- Plášek, V., Sawicki, J., Trávníčková, V., & Pasečná, M. (2009). Orthotrichum moravicum (Orthotrichaceae), a new moss species from the Czech Republic. The Bryologist, 112, 329–336. https://doi.org/10.1639/0007-2745-112.2.329
- Plášek, V., Smoczyk, M., & Ochyra, R. (2016). Rediscovery of the epiphytic moss Ulota coarctata (Orthotrichaceae) in Poland. Polish Botanical Journal, 61, 99–105. https://doi.org/10.1515/pbj-2016-0018
- Plášek, V., Wierzcholska, S., & Mikulášková, E. (2007). Occurrence of the vegetative propagules in the moss Orthotrichum stramineum Hornsch. – A new piece of knowledge. Časopis Slezského Zemského Muzea, Vědy Přírodni, Série A, 56, 43–47.
- Procházková, J., & Plášek, V. (2020). Lewinskya affinis var. bohemica New epiphytic moss in the bryoflora of Germany. Acta Musei Silesiae, Scientiae Naturales, 69, 89–92. https://doi.org/10.2478/cszma-2020-0007

Ros, R. M., Mazimpaka, V., Usama Abou-Salama, U., Aleffi, M., Blockeel, T. L., Brugués, M., Cros, R. M., Dia, M. G., Dirkse, G. M., Draper, I., El-Saadawi, W., Erdağ, A., Ganeva, A., Gabriel, R., González-Mancebo, J. M., Granger, C., Herrnstadt, I., Hugonot, V., Khalil, K., ... Werner, O. (2013). Mosses of the Mediterranean, an annotated checklist. *Cryptogamie, Bryologie, 34*, 99–283. https://doi.org/10.782/cryb.v34.iss2.2013.99

Roth, G. (1904). Die europäischen Laubmoose. 1. Kleistokarpische und Akrokarpische Moose bis zu den Bryaceen [The European mosses. 1. Cleistocarpous and acrocarpous mosses to the Bryaceae]. Verlag von Wilhelm Engelmann. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.58319

- Rusińska, A., & Górski, P. (2012). *Microbryum davallianum* (Bryophyta, Pottiaceae) in Poland: Distribution and ecology. *Polish Botanical Journal*, 57, 223–229.
- Ruthe, R. (1873). Ueber Orthotrichum Shawii Wils [On Orthotrichum Shawii Wils]. Hedwigia, 12, 177–182. https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/page/477604
- Sawicki, J., Plášek, V., Ochyra, R., Szczecińska, M., Ślipiko, M., Myszczyński, K., & Kulik, T. (2017). Mitogenomic analyses support the recent division of the genus *Orthotrichum* (Orthotrichaceae, Bryophyta). *Scientific Reports*, 7, Article e4408. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-04833-z

Sayre, G. (1959). Dates of publications describing Musci, 1801–1821. Troy.

- Schimper, W. P. (1860). Synopsis muscorum europaeorum: praemissa introductione de elementis bryologics tractante [Synopsis of European mosses preceded by an introduction on bryological elements]. Sumptibus Librariae E. Schweizerbart.
- Schimper, W. P. (1876). Synopsis muscorum europaeorum praemissa introductione de elementis bryologicis tractante. 2. Specierum description [Synopsis of European mosses preceded by an introduction on bryological elements]. Sumptibus Librariae E. Schweizerbart (E. Koch).
- Schwaegrichen, C. F. (1816). Species muscorum frondosorum descriptae et tabulis aeneis coloratis illustratae opus postumen. Supplementum primum, section posterior [The species of mosses described and illustrated with colored copperplates. Opus posthumous. First supplement, posterior section]. Sumptu Joannis Ambrosii Barth. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.26
- Smith, A. J. E. (1978). The moss flora of Britain and Ireland. Cambridge University Press.
- Smith, A. J. E. (2004). *The moss flora of Britain and Ireland* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511541858
- Smith, J. E. (1804). Musci. In *Flora britannica* (Vol. 3, pp. 1145–1383 + 1405–1406). Typis J. Davis.
- Stebel, A. (1997). Mszaki okolic Węgorzewa na Pojezierzu Mazurskim [Bryophytes in the neighbourhood of Węgorzewo town in the Masurian Lakeland]. Fragmenta Floristica et Geobotanica Series Polonica, 4, 235–244.
- Stebel, A. (2010). Rediscovery of Orthotrichum rogeri Brid. (Bryophyta) in Poland. Opole Scientific Society Nature Journal, 43, 23–27.
- Stebel, A., Fojcik, B., & Zubel, R. (2016). Pterygoneurum lamellatum (Pottiaceae) nowy gatunek mchu we florze Polski [Pterygoneurum lamellatum (Pottiaceae) – New species in the moss flora of Poland. Fragmenta Floristica et Geobotanica Polonica, 23, 139–143.
- Stebel, A., & Ochyra, R. (2004). Incorrect records of *Plagiomnium drummondii* in Central Europe. *Journal of Bryology*, 26, 233–235. https://doi.org/10.1179/037366804X5378
- Stebel, A., Ochyra, R., & Vončina, G. (2010). *Mosses of the Pieniny Range (Polish Western Carpathians)*. Sorus.
- Stebel, A., & Vončina, G. (2018). The moss *Thamnobryum neckeroides* (Neckeraceae) in Poland. *Herzogia*, 31, 304–310. https://doi.org/10.13158/099.031.0124
- Stebel, A., & Żarnowiec, J. (2017). The moss genus Zygodon (Orthotrichaceae) in Poland Distribution, ecological preferences and threats. *Cryptogamie, Bryologie, 38*, 231–251. https://doi.org/10.7872/cryb/v38.iss3.2017.231
- Swartz, O. (1799). Dispositio systematica muscorum frondosorum Sueciae. Adjectis descriptionibus et iconibus novarum specierum [A systematic arrangement of the mosses of Sweden. Including icons and descriptions of new species. With Ioannis Jacobus Palm]. Palm.
- Szafran, B. (1961). Mchy (Musci) [Mosses] (Vol. 2). Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe.
- Turner, D. (1804). *Muscologiae hibernicae spicilegium* [A gleaning of Irish mosses]. Typis J. Black and J. White.
- Vončina, G., & Stebel, A. (2019). The moss *Rhynchostegium rotundifolium* (Brachytheciaceae) new to Poland. *Herzogia*, 32, 503–507. https://doi.org/10.13158/heia.32.2.2019.503
- Wijk, R., Margadant, W. D., & Florschütz, P. A. (1964). *Index muscorum. Volume III* (*D-Hypno*). International Bureau for Plant Taxonomy and Nomenclature of the International Association of Plant Taxonomy.

- Wijk, R., Margadant, W. D., & Florschütz, P. A. (1969). *Index muscorum. Volume V (T–Z, Appendix)*. International Bureau for Plant Taxonomy and Nomenclature of the International Association of Plant Taxonomy.
- Wilson, W. (1855). Muscologia britannica; containing the mosses of Great Britain and Ireland, systematically arranged and described according to the method of Bruch and Schimper, with illustrative plates; being a new (third) edition, with many additions and alterations, of the Muscologia britannica of Messrs. Hooker and Taylor. Longman, Brown, Green, and Longmans.
- Żarnowiec, J. (2010). Mchy doliny Terebowca (Bieszczady Zachodnie, Bieszczadzki Park Narodowy) [Mosses of the Terebowiec stream valley (Western Bieszczady Mts, Bieszczady National Park)]. *Roczniki Bieszczadzkie*, *18*, 157–166.
- Żarnowiec, J., & Stebel, A. (2014). Mchy polskich Bieszczadów Zachodnich i Bieszczadzkiego Parku Narodowego – stan poznania, ekologia, zagrożenia [Mosses of the Polish part of Western Bieszczady Mts and the Bieszczady National Park – State of recognition, ecology, threats]. *Monografie Bieszczadzkie*, *16*, 1–200.
- Żmuda, A. (1911). Bryotheca polonica (Część I. Nr. 1–50) [Bryotheca polonica (Part I. No. 1–50)]. *Kosmos (Lwów)*, *35*, 15–22.